DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2016-07-19 2:23:27, Tue	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	And wow, Donald Trump is an enormous d*uche
2016-07-19 10:18:29, Tue	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	Trump barely spoke, but the first thing out of his mouth was "we're going to win soooo big." The whole thing is like living in a bad dream.
2016-07-19 11:20:05, Tue	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	God, it's just a two-bit organization. I do so hope his disorganization comes to bite him hard in November.
2016-07-19 11:21:09, Tue	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	It HAS to, right? Right?!? Panicked ☹
2016-07-21 8:52:17, Thu	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	This is really shocking. Donald Trump Sets Conditions for Defending NATO Allies Against Attack http://nyti.ms/2ai4u3g
2016-07-21 9:09:56, Thu	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	This campaign is like watching a train wreck happen over and over and over again. How Donald Trump Picked His Running Mate http://nyti.ms/2a8aCJ9
2016-07-21 9:40:00, Thu	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	Trump is a disaster. I have no idea how destabilizing his Presidency would be
	[redacted]		[redacted]	
2016-07-25 0:42:49, Mon	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	Was [redacted] thing low side or high side? Can't find it
2016-07-25 0:43:08, Mon	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	Oops, just found it
2016-07-25 0:43:09, Mon	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	High.
2016-07-25 0:44:04, Mon	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	Peter. It wasn't me. No memory of minority mention or any of it. I'm sorry
2016-07-25 0:44:46, Mon	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	Go ask her. Thought of it bc you had to Google Fisc judges and saw him there. I'm telling you.
2016-07-25 0:50:41, Mon	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	I just did. She confirmed I hadn't. So either in told you or wanted to tell you and hadn't. She brought up a good point about being circumspect in talk
2016-07-25 0:50:41, Mon	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	ing to him in terms of not placing him into a position where he'd have to recuse himself.
2016-07-25 0:52:06, Mon	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	I can't imagine either one of you could talk about anything in any detail meaningful enough to warrant recusal
2016-07-25 0:52:22, Mon	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	Anyway, maybe you meant to, but you didn't.
2016-07-25 0:53:07, Mon	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	Really? Rudy, I'm in charge of espionage for the FBI. Any espionage FISA comes before him, what should he do, given his friend oversees them?
2016-07-25 0:53:54, Mon	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	Ok, I believe you that I didn't. Thought I had. Happy to (indeed, wanted to and thought I did) talk about it with you.
2016-07-25 0:56:23, Mon	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	Standards for recusal are quite high. I just don't think this poses an actual conflict. And doesn't he know what you do?
2016-07-25 0:59:55, Mon	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	Generally he does know what i do. Not the level or scope or area. E
2016-07-25 0:59:55, Mon	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	ut he's super thoughtful and rigorous about ethics and conflicts.
	[redacted]		[redacted]	
2016-07-27 0:21:39, Wed	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yeah, it is pretty cool. She just has to win now. I'm not going to lie, I got a flash of nervousness yesterday about trump. The sandernistas have the potential to make a very big mistake here..
2016-07-27 0:32:05, Wed	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	I'm not worried about them. I'm worried about the anarchist Assanges who will take fed information and disclose it to disrupt. We've gotta get the memo
2016-07-27 0:32:05, Wed	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	and brief and case filing done.
2016-07-27 0:32:09, Wed	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	I'm not worried about them. I'm worried about the anarchist Assanges who will take fed information and disclose it to disrupt \n\nWe've gotta get the memo and brief and case filing done
	[redacted]		[redacted]	
2016-07-28 1:38:44, Thu	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	Have we opened on him yet? \U0001f621\n\nTrump & Putin. Yes, It's Really a Thing\nhttp://talkingpointsmemo.com/edblog/trump-putin-yes-it-s-really-a-thing
2016-07-28 1:51:04, Thu	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	Opened on Trump? If Hillary did, you know 5 field offices would..
2016-07-28 1:54:17, Thu	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	This article highlights the thing I mentioned to you earlier, asking if Bill had noted it to 7th floor. I'm going to send it to hin
	[redacted]		[redacted]	
2016-07-31 23:10:23, Sun	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	97F-HQ-25126529, Full, opened 07/31/2016.\n\nI'm certain I f'ed up serialization somehow but we'll sort that out later
2016-07-31 23:11:30, Sun	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	And damn this feels momentous. Because this matters. The other one
2016-07-31 23:11:30, Sun	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	did, too, but that was to ensure we didn't F something up. This mat
2016-07-31 23:11:30, Sun	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	ters because this MATTERS. So super glad to be on this voyage wit
2016-07-31 23:11:31, Sun	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	h you. ☺
2016-07-31 23:12:06, Sun	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	Roger thanks
2016-07-31 23:13:52, Sun	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	Thanks for doing that...
2016-07-31 23:14:59, Sun	[redacted]	INBOX	[redacted]	Well wait a minute. Sentinel now shows 97F-HQ-2063661. Go with this one
2016-07-31 23:19:58, Sun	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	I know. Me too. ☺

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
			[redacted]	
2016-08-03 1:10:10, Wed		INBOX		Also, lhm preamble is fine. I cannot believe how long it took☹
2016-08-03 1:10:25, Wed	[redacted]	OUTBOX		Just don't think it's going to be useful. Think interactions are going to be more with his security folks. (Bc he has private, ex-Bu ones ir addition to the Service).
2016-08-03 1:11:00, Wed		OUTBOX		Yeah, George finally got it when I explained why Andy was concerned and first asked for it. That helped, I think
2016-08-03 1:16:57, Wed		INBOX		@ h0 @So looking at this form, I think we need to consider the lines of what we disclose to DoJ. For example, the last stipulation notes we will not disclose t
2016-08-03 1:17:00, Wed		INBOX		@ h0 @he identities outside the FBI. I think we and they could live with that. And frankly, I think you might argue the unauthorized disclosure might reasona
2016-08-03 1:17:01, Wed		INBOX		@ h0 @bly be expected to cause exceptionally grave damage to US national security..
2016-08-03 7:31:14, Wed		OUTBOX		Just stressed. About things I can't control at all, so it's stupid. But still, here I am
2016-08-03 7:32:53, Wed		INBOX		Please. I am the KING of that behavior. It mixes well with my control freaky silliness. What? This case/mye? Something else?
2016-08-03 7:33:33, Wed		OUTBOX		New case. Information flow. Control.
2016-08-03 7:34:13, Wed		OUTBOX		Andy. The dynamic. And yeah, but it's not mine to worry about.
2016-08-03 7:35:58, Wed		INBOX		@ (1 @Right there with you. Already told Joe we're writing it up like the agency does. One with just info, no names or location. Then an admin cable with names
2016-08-03 7:36:01, Wed		INBOX		@ (1 @, locations, identifying data. I plan on telling Bill I'm obviously going to tell him anything he wants to know, but recommend we not tell him or highe
2016-08-03 7:36:03, Wed		INBOX		@ (1 @r specific data so that he and higher can tell DOJ, even we don't know the admin details.
2016-08-03 7:36:23, Wed		INBOX		You're Andy's counsel. By definition it's yours to worry about.
2016-08-03 7:37:00, Wed		OUTBOX		No it's not. Not like this. He's clearly not
2016-08-03 7:38:26, Wed		INBOX		đAnd it's all ok. We'll succeed in spite of ourselves. Problem is
2016-08-03 7:38:30, Wed		INBOX		đthis is MUCH more tasty for one of those DoJ aholes to leak. For th
2016-08-03 7:38:35, Wed		INBOX		đe first time in a while I'm not worried about our side. ☹
2016-08-03 7:39:21, Wed		OUTBOX		I know. Just can't.
2016-08-03 7:39:28, Wed		INBOX		He's clearly not what? Worrying about jt?
2016-08-03 7:39:50, Wed		OUTBOX		Information flow and control.
2016-08-03 7:40:56, Wed		INBOX		He should be. I can reinforce that when I brief you/Bill, and Andy, if I talk to him about it
2016-08-05 14:09:03, Fri		INBOX		Have M w f meetings with ch team at 9 like we did with mye. Need to tall to you about Bil
2016-08-05 14:15:19, Fri		OUTBOX		Coming now
2016-08-05 14:50:42, Fri		OUTBOX		Clinesmith too, right?
2016-08-05 14:52:45, Fri		INBOX		Not sure. Probably, right? Defer to [redacted]
2016-08-05 14:53:44, Fri		OUTBOX		I'm just going to invite him. Though it's a big group now (10). Added baker
2016-08-05 14:59:38, Fri		INBOX		4 ogc attorneys...
2016-08-05 14:59:53, Fri		INBOX		Whatever. It's fine. Kevin deserves to be there
2016-08-05 15:00:07, Fri		OUTBOX		Yup. Who do I exclude?
2016-08-05 15:12:53, Fri		OUTBOX		I'm not going to send it until later this afternoon.
2016-08-05 16:37:19, Fri		INBOX		And hi. Went well, best we could have expected. Other than [redacted] quote, "the White House is running this."
2016-08-05 16:37:35, Fri		INBOX		My answer, "well, maybe for you they are." ☹
2016-08-05 16:44:32, Fri		INBOX		And of course, I was planning on telling this guy, thanks for coming, we've got an hour, but with Bill there, I've got no control. What time do you nee
2016-08-05 16:44:33, Fri		INBOX		d to leave?
2016-08-05 16:54:54, Fri		OUTBOX		Don't you have work to do?
2016-08-05 16:55:25, Fri		OUTBOX		Yeah, whatever (re the WH comment). We've got emails that say otherwise
			[redacted]	

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2016-08-06 14:54:58, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	And maybe you're meant to stay where you are because you're meant to protect the country from that menace. To that end, read this
2016-08-06 14:55:19, Sat	[redacted]	OUTBOX		Trump\u2019s Enablers Will Finally Have to Take a Stand http://nyti.ms/2aFakr
2016-08-06 15:04:39, Sat		INBOX		Thanks. It's absolutely true that we're both very fortunate. And of course I'll try and approach it that way. I just know it will be tough a times. I
2016-08-06 15:04:39, Sat		INBOX		can protect our country at many levels, not sure if that helps..
2016-08-06 15:05:49, Sat		OUTBOX		I know it will too. But it's just a job. It's not a reflection of your worth or quality or smarts
2016-08-06 15:06:24, Sat		OUTBOX		And it's funny, I feel deep affection for David Brooks. For his reasonableness and his humanity
2016-08-06 15:06:47, Sat		OUTBOX		Anyway, I need to get in the shower. Ttyl.
2016-08-06 15:28:49, Sat		INBOX		I really like this: He appears to have no ability to experience reverence, which is the foundation for any capacity to admire or serve anything bigger th
2016-08-06 15:28:49, Sat		INBOX		an self, to want to learn about anything beyond self, to want to know and deeply honor the people around you
2016-08-09 3:26:23, Tue		OUTBOX		He's not ever going to become president, right? Right?!
2016-08-09 3:40:34, Tue		INBOX		No. No he's not. We'll stop it.
2016-08-11 0:51:25, Thu		OUTBOX		Crossfire Latitude?
2016-08-11 0:52:58, Thu		INBOX		Ooh. I like it Want me to send to [redacted]
2016-08-11 0:53:37, Thu		OUTBOX		If you want.
2016-08-11 0:53:43, Thu		INBOX		Why Latitude? (other than it sounds badass, and you came up with it
2016-08-11 0:53:44, Thu		INBOX		😊)
2016-08-11 0:54:23, Thu		OUTBOX		Trying to think of something loosely military, without being obvious
2016-08-11 0:56:55, Thu		INBOX		Crossfire YUUUUGE. Though we may save that for the man, if we ever open on him ;)
2016-08-11 0:57:27, Thu		INBOX		OMG I CANNOT BELIEVE WE ARE SERIOUSLY LOOKING AT THESE ALLEGATIONS AND THE PERVASIVE CONNECTIONS
2016-08-11 0:57:39, Thu		INBOX		What the hell has happened to our country!?!?!??
2016-08-14 21:19:54, Sun		INBOX		Hey. So [redacted] just emailed me about briefing D on CH tomorrow at 330. If not then, Wed. I said we could do either. Dont know Andy's availability / des
2016-08-14 21:19:54, Sun		INBOX		re to svtc in. Assume you can, right?
2016-08-14 21:23:08, Sun		OUTBOX		Andy not going to. Either is fine for me.
2016-08-14 21:25:21, Sun		INBOX		[redacted] proposing D dd add ead GC [redacted] you him [redacted] me [redacted]
2016-08-14 21:27:54, Sun		OUTBOX		Fine, I suppose. Don't love add obviously, but so be it
2016-08-14 22:05:41, Sun		INBOX		Typed, me either, but nothing I can do about it, though that didn't send.
2016-08-15 10:29:53, Mon		INBOX		I want to believe the path you threw out for consideration in Andy's office - that there's no way he gets elected - but I'm afraid we can't take that ris
2016-08-15 10:29:53, Mon		INBOX		k. It's like an insurance policy in the unlikely event you die before you're 40..
2016-08-17 21:51:37, Wed		INBOX		I tried to do work too. I basically got an army of people moving on that bigger effort i somehow have ended up leading. Worth a conversation tomorrow abo
2016-08-17 21:51:38, Wed		INBOX		ut how things are tracking for awareness. A lot of chefs in the kitchen..
2016-08-17 21:55:18, Wed		OUTBOX		Yes, let's chat tomorrow. I feel much less connected to this case than the last one, yet it's a lot more important..
2016-08-17 22:00:11, Wed		INBOX		It's a tough one. I'll give you a readout on both those cases and the bigger overall effort. You and [redacted] will be a help in directing traffic for sur
2016-08-17 22:00:11, Wed		INBOX		e I think.
2016-08-24 23:36:44, Wed		INBOX		I like you! 😊😊😊😊 Are we in thunderdome tonorrow? Have audio/vi
2016-08-24 23:36:44, Wed		INBOX		deo for briefing. Can [redacted] get in there 15 min early with AV guy? Co
2016-08-24 23:36:44, Wed		INBOX		ordinate thru [redacted]

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2016-08-24 23:37:37, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Ooh. A/V time.
2016-08-24 23:38:53, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	No. Not worth it. Yep! Everyone loves a video, even if the subj is wildly uncooperative. Great tech work, shows competence and urgency. Plus CP is kind
2016-08-24 23:38:54, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	of a douche.
2016-08-24 23:38:56, Wed	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	We can be wherever you want. Thunder dome is fine. Early is fine. He should be able to log on to his fbi net terminal and do whateve he needs. I'll send an email to [redacted] now letting her know we will need a/V
2016-08-26 16:42:05, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Good lord. Talk about an unexpected and unpleasant blast from the past...
2016-08-26 16:42:38, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Just went to a southern Virginia Walmart. I could SMELL the Trump support...
2016-09-02 13:41:28, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Checkout my 9:30 mtg on the 7th
2016-09-02 13:42:38, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I can tell you why you're having that meeting.
2016-09-02 13:42:44, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	It's not what you think.
2016-09-02 13:49:37, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	TPs for D?
2016-09-02 13:50:28, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yes, bc potus wants to know everything we are doing.
2016-09-02 13:55:19, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	I'm sure an honest answer will come out of that meeting....😒
2016-09-27 0:33:24, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Did you read this? It's scathing. And I'm scared. Why Donald Trump Should Not Be President http://nyti.ms/2dbQPuF
2016-09-27 0:40:42, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Man, I should have started drinking earlier. I'm genuinely stressed about the debate.
2016-09-27 0:40:53, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Jesus, why? How hard is it?
2016-09-27 1:44:02, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	This is causing me actual physical pain. God help us.
2016-10-12 22:50:36, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey - The FISA will probably not go forward without a call from the DD. Even as is, the court may not hear it this week.
2016-10-12 23:08:47, Wed	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	OI now has a robust explanation re any possible bias of the chs in the package. Don't know what the holdup is now, other than Stu's continued concerns. Strong operational need to have in place before Monday if at all possible, which means to ct tomorrow. I communicated you and boss's green light to Stu earlier, and just sent an email to Stu asking where things stood. This might take a high-level push. Will keep you posted.
2016-10-12 23:13:33, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	If I have not heard back from Stu in an hour, I will invoke your name to say you want to know where things are, so long as that is okay with you.
2016-10-20 1:15:42, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	I am riled up. Trump is a fucking idiot, is unable to provide a coherent answer.
2016-10-20 1:16:27, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Please. I honestly don't want to know.
2016-10-20 1:22:34, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	I CAN'T PULL AWAY. WHAT THE FUCK HAPPENED TO OUR COUNTRY, LIS?!??!?!
2016-10-20 1:24:18, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I don't know. But we'll get it back. We're America. We rock.
2016-11-02 0:37:06, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Like I said, see [redacted] and ny convo. ...
2016-11-02 0:45:42, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	This response, from [redacted]: ...and considering we haven't shared any facts, those certainly aren't factoring into decisionmaking. We should essentially have
2016-11-02 0:45:42, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	no reason for contact with NYO going forward in this.
2016-11-02 0:46:15, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I know. Which is what I tried to impose.
2016-11-02 0:47:01, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	God, this makes me very very angry. I honestly think I should bow out rather than find out things, be unable to tell Andy, and powerless to stop them.
2016-11-02 0:48:22, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	No. Need you on the inside now more than ever. Truly. And no bs, your country needs you now. We are going to have to be very wise about all of this.
2016-11-02 0:49:00, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	The only thing wrong in your statement is your powerlessness
2016-11-02 0:49:08, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I am going to have to use Jim Baker a lot to get to the D. But I don't trust that he can convey details accurately
2016-11-02 0:49:25, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I'm not sure I agree with you there
2016-11-02 0:50:12, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Not just JB. And this is a finite thing. I want to be done before the inauguration.

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2016-11-02 0:50:44, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	You're wrong. Not the type of power you (or i) would prefer, but not powerles:
2016-11-02 0:50:45, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Who else can I use?
2016-11-02 0:50:58, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	JR? Don't think so.
2016-11-02 0:53:40, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	I don't know. [redacted] And not just you. Me, [redacted] Me? [redacted] My QT roommate.
2016-11-02 0:55:26, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yeah, maybe.
2016-11-02 0:56:30, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	We're going to make sure the right thing is done. You underestimate my - our - enthusiasm and competence and motivation. You can be worried. Take str
2016-11-02 0:56:30, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	ength from me. Then add [redacted] and [redacted] and Bill and Jim onto that. It's gonna be ok
2016-11-02 0:57:53, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I know that you guys are. I have complete confidence in the team. You know that
2016-11-02 1:02:12, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Our team. I do. I'm telling you to take comfort in that.
2016-11-02 1:06:20, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I just don't know.
2016-11-02 1:06:58, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	And god, I just had a horrible realization: what if I can't talk to Andy about my back and forth about what to do?
2016-11-02 1:07:26, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	? What do you mean?
2016-11-02 1:08:52, Wed	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	Baker said to me tonight that if [redacted] come to him about the leadership decision that he shouldn't discuss it with them because once he is out he shouldn't have discussions about any of it, even personnel
2016-11-02 1:09:20, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Pete, I really think this isn't a good idea for me.
2016-11-02 1:13:30, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Lisa. The more I think about it, the more certain I am that it is.
2016-11-02 1:14:13, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Your conversations with Andy would be covered under atty privilege, no:
2016-11-02 1:14:52, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	As I said to you the other day, and I meant, my country just might have to do without this time. I mean it
			[redacted]	
2016-11-04 2:23:21, Fri	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	No Pete. It's your JOB. And plus she actually knows what you're doing this time. And that the American presidential election, and thus, the state of the world, actually hangs in the balance
2016-11-04 2:25:34, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	You either start with a new blank slate on Nov. 9 or you never make it Pete. You will never live down that unmeasureable subjective debt.
			[redacted]	
2016-11-08 1:56:29, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	OMG THIS IS F*CKING TERRIFYING: A victory by Mr. Trump remains poss
2016-11-08 1:56:29, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	ible: Mrs. Clinton's chance of losing is about the same as the prob
2016-11-08 1:56:29, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	ability that an N.F.L. kicker misses a 38-yard field goal
2016-11-08 2:05:50, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yeah, that's not good.
			[redacted]	
2016-11-09 12:13:35, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Too hard to explain here. Election related. Which is also godawful bad. Sure
2016-11-09 12:43:11, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Are you even going to give out your calendars? Seems kind of depressing. Maybe it should just be the first meeting of the secret society
2016-11-09 12:44:01, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	And Christ, we should just hit those thumb drives now. Their opinion is totally irrelevant.
2016-11-09 12:51:15, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I'll mention to Andy.
2016-11-09 14:12:40, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Walking to your ofc now
2016-11-09 16:35:22, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Omg I am so depressed
			[redacted]	
2016-11-13 19:11:15, Sun	[redacted]	OUTBOX	[redacted]	I bought all the president's men. Figure I needed to brush up on watergate. \U0001f615
			[redacted]	
2016-11-14 13:51:25, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	God, being here makes me angry. Lots of high fallutin' national security talk. Meanwhile, we have OUR task ahead of us.
			[redacted]	
2016-11-21 17:52:42, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Your email isn't working again. Please check your sentinel queue when you return. That EC is ready for your signature. Thanks
			[redacted]	
2016-12-10 17:47:02, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Was Bill's response re meeting adequate?
2016-12-10 17:51:43, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	It was OK. I told him we heard via [redacted] Kevin, and that we were all disappointed. He said he understood, but he was able to talk that way broadly with

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2016-12-10 17:51:43, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	out giving any specifics, so he thinks it helped rather than hurt. Said we hadn't closed anything, and generally talked about our path forward. Said they
2016-12-10 17:51:43, Sat		INBOX		didn't ask for an update when we got back, and that he thought we were in a good place.
2016-12-10 17:51:53, Sat		OUTBOX		It won't. Andy's views on the matter will carry a lot more weight than [redacted] saying something on his way out the door
2017-01-03 11:21:32, Tue		INBOX		No, talking to [redacted]
2017-01-03 11:23:37, Tue		OUTBOX		Good talk?
2017-01-03 11:26:02, Tue		INBOX		Yep. Went through what he's doing now. We're meeting at 9 to talk about TPs for the D. Which we don't have a lot of good answers for right now
2017-01-03 11:30:35, Tue		INBOX		Our material in the report is much better now. Don't like an annex, but is what it is. Did you follow the drama over the PDB last week
2017-01-03 11:31:07, Tue		OUTBOX		Yup. Don't know how it ended though.
2017-01-03 11:34:56, Tue		INBOX		They didn't include any of it and Bill didn't want to dissent.
2017-01-03 11:36:38, Tue		OUTBOX		Wow. Bill should make sure Andy knows about that, since he was consulted numerous times about whether to include the reporting
2017-01-04 0:55:00, Wed		INBOX		Check Gmail. Also, had a good conversation with Bill, just about work. It was nice because it got past some of the awkward that I was feeling.
2017-01-04 1:27:23, Wed		OUTBOX		I wrote you back. Glad you talked with bill. About case stuff or other?
2017-01-04 1:27:55, Wed		INBOX		Ha. Literally just pulled this out
2017-01-04 1:28:46, Wed		INBOX		Case stuff. Big picture not at ease with outcome of mye, and need for all of us to step back and be strategic in action/engagement
2017-01-04 1:29:55, Wed		INBOX		He, like us, is concerned with over sharing. Doesn't want Clapper giving CR cuts to WH. All political, just shows our hand and potentially makes enemies.
2017-01-04 1:50:12, Wed		OUTBOX		Did the D have thoughts about your attendance Friday?
2017-01-04 1:51:09, Wed		OUTBOX		Yeah, but keep in mind we were going to put that in the doc on friday, with potentially larger distribution than just the dn
2017-01-04 1:53:45, Wed		INBOX		The question is should we, particularly to the entirety of the lame duck usic with partisan axes to grind
2017-01-04 2:26:42, Wed		INBOX		He supports it. We will tell the rest of them tomorrow and if they don't have strong opposition I expect I will go.
2017-01-04 2:27:09, Wed		OUTBOX		Lucky ducky!
2017-01-04 2:27:50, Wed		INBOX		Oh yeah. I'm pumped. 😒
2017-01-04 21:44:20, Wed		INBOX		Hey call me work q you probably need to ask Andy
2017-01-04 21:44:47, Wed		OUTBOX		Just tried you.
2017-01-04 22:48:29, Wed		INBOX		Hi. Bill going to talk to Baker.....
2017-01-04 23:44:29, Wed		INBOX		Hey call me and rescue me from [redacted] when you're done....please...
2017-01-04 23:47:55, Wed		INBOX		And if you're all talking plan for Razor, I have very relevant new info to that discussion
2017-01-05 0:02:55, Thu		INBOX		Jim Sciutto on CNN now
2017-01-05 0:04:33, Thu		OUTBOX		Saying what?
2017-01-05 0:05:25, Thu		OUTBOX		Crap forgot something have to run back to ofc. ☹
2017-01-05 0:05:28, Thu		INBOX		Intel community increasingly concerned about T. I also think I know what your other item is - crimes report?
2017-01-05 0:05:38, Thu		INBOX		Can I bring it?
2017-01-05 0:06:05, Thu		OUTBOX		Yes, sort of.
2017-01-05 0:07:10, Thu		OUTBOX		No. Have to make a copy
2017-01-05 0:07:21, Thu		OUTBOX		So I can work late on eras tonight
2017-01-10 19:32:39, Tue		OUTBOX		Hey does cyber need to be at sb?
2017-01-10 19:53:22, Tue		INBOX		I don't think so
2017-01-10 19:58:15, Tue		INBOX		Depends what he wants to talk about. They called my guys getting a bunch of info, I assume to answer the DC prep question. I guess bottom line answer,

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-01-10 19:58:15, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	if they send the right person, i wouldn't object. But I think I can cover the investigative sid
2017-01-10 20:04:58, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey talked to Rich re CNN this PM, he had only second hand info from [redacted], said you might have better info, pls let me know if you do Thx
2017-01-10 20:22:21, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Who is the right person?
2017-01-10 20:23:38, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Talked with [redacted], he didn't think we needed. I can ask and maybe get name
2017-01-10 20:25:56, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	But if we want to bring their detail guy, I want to bring ours. And mine is telling me he knows all the CyD guy doe
2017-01-10 21:11:33, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	You free to talk as we get pushed back and back?
2017-01-10 21:11:56, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	No in with andy
2017-01-10 21:13:39, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	K. I assume - because Brits have asked twice today that their media reps want to reach out to CNN - that our answer is still "no, because that's the las
2017-01-10 21:13:40, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	t Rich had from [redacted]. Do you know if that calculus changed based on the info Mike got
2017-01-10 21:14:33, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Btw, PF's back, baby! :D
2017-01-10 21:18:41, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	You are kidding me. 😒
2017-01-10 21:19:27, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Nope!!!! 😂😂😂😂
2017-01-10 21:54:12, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey seriously I want to know the context of the retarded/bad/awful....
2017-01-10 23:09:52, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Sitting with Bill watching CNN. A TON more out
2017-01-10 23:18:20, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey let me know when you can talk. We're discussing whether, now that this is out, we use it as a pretext to go interview some people
2017-01-10 23:32:59, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I will.
2017-01-10 23:35:43, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I'm staying late late late. [redacted] in my office now I'll call when he leaves.
2017-01-10 23:36:14, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	K. Korn has report out, but not specifically on C
			[redacted]	
2017-01-14 2:02:12, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	And re the first topic, the atty threw out Lisa Monaco's name (said they're friends) and asked who the highest FBI atty aware of it was, whether there wa
2017-01-14 2:02:14, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	s an AUSA. They told him JB - not ideal, but whatever. Thing is, if I had the ticket, I would have emailed JB 15 minutes ago to give him a cautionary hea
2017-01-14 2:02:14, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	ds up... Yes, I need to let go.
2017-01-14 2:03:35, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	You can still do that...
2017-01-14 2:04:01, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Hope they didn't answer the AUSA question.
2017-01-14 2:05:12, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Said there isn't one, this isn't a criminal matter, we just want to talk to him quietly. Atty fronted, after asking what it was about ("you know what i
2017-01-14 2:05:12, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	t's about"), "it's about the stuff in the news, isn't it?"
2017-01-14 2:05:19, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Womble any good?
2017-01-14 2:05:52, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yup. They're a legit firm.
			[redacted]	
2017-01-22 14:08:27, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I think we need to set up a reset meeting re the CH cases for when andy is back. I'm concerned that Bill has such a misunderstanding
2017-01-22 14:14:01, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	Ah. Got it. Did we meet him at the burger place on Pennsylvania on the Hill that one day? Sounds good to me, under guise of going through the investigat
2017-01-22 14:14:01, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	ive plan. It IS clear, Lisa. It has been clear. It's just not what Bill wants. And [redacted] is exacerbating it with her "open everything" craziness
			[redacted]	
2017-01-23 1:05:39, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	In the morning, I think I'm going to ask him to postpone it and postpone any planned future travel for a few months
2017-01-23 1:06:51, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	A one-hour brief to Flynn is more important than anything Andy could do in London this week
2017-01-23 1:09:28, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	It's especially frustrating because history has proven that we are ALWAYS right
2017-01-23 1:10:35, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Agreed!
2017-01-23 10:56:22, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Reading that article now.
2017-01-23 10:56:33, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Andy can not go this week.
2017-01-23 10:56:42, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	[redacted] going to ask him to stay.

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-01-23 10:57:03, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Go, get in the shower. You've gotta get in there asap.
2017-01-23 10:57:24, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	I agree; good luck with that. Brits will certainly understand. I'm obviously not saying anything
2017-01-23 10:57:26, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	K
2017-01-23 10:58:03, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Of course they will. It was dumb to have planned in the first place
2017-01-23 11:37:54, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	We'll see, about Bill. He was pretty adamant about what Andy it said with regard to that. And he mentioned on Saturday that he had several conversations
2017-01-23 11:37:54, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	with Andy. Bill sense with it and he wanted to know why we had to go aggressively doing these things, openly. I worry Bill isn't getting the underlying d
2017-01-23 11:37:54, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	istinction that I think is clear. But maybe I'm wrong
2017-01-23 11:45:40, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I can feel my heart beating harder, I'm so stressed out about all the ways this has the potential to go fully off the rails
2017-01-23 11:56:23, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	I know. I just talked with [redacted], we're getting together as soon as I get in to finish that write up for Andy this morning. I reminded Johr about how I ha
2017-01-23 11:56:23, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	d told Bill and the entire group that we should wait 30 to 60 days after the inauguration to change how were managing this stuff. As it is, he went ahead
2017-01-23 11:56:23, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	, and everything is completely falling off the rails. I think our stuff is good on our cases, but I have no hope or understanding about what they're doin
2017-01-23 11:56:23, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	g on [redacted] side of the house. There was a significant visitor this weekend that I'm not sure we did anything with
			[redacted]	
2017-01-23 17:12:15, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Need to talk to you when you are done re razor
2017-01-23 17:15:13, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Are you free for lunch around 1?
2017-01-23 17:15:23, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	I am close to you and get an hour break then
2017-01-23 17:15:35, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	No worries if you are not
2017-01-23 17:16:41, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Sure, I could meet! Not sure I can take a whole hour though. Could you come closer to me?
			[redacted]	
2017-01-23 20:03:37, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Hey make sure if you talk to Bill re press thing make sure he doesn't mention to mike yet
			[redacted]	
2017-01-23 21:28:56, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I'm at dd ofc already
2017-01-23 23:07:22, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	If you're not there already you need to go to Andy's
2017-01-23 23:40:18, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Just hit you at your desk
2017-01-23 23:50:59, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I'm still here in with dd. We WI talk before I leave for sure
2017-01-23 23:53:59, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	☺ please Talked to [redacted], he'll be ready, we're prepping tonight a
2017-01-23 23:53:59, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	nd meeting at 6 tomorrow Talking to Bill now. Is that him now wit
2017-01-23 23:54:00, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	h Bill?
2017-01-24 0:41:04, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	No.
2017-01-24 0:41:12, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I mean yes. It was.
2017-01-24 0:41:32, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Yep got it, Bill relayed to me
2017-01-24 1:34:23, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	K. Check gmail
2017-01-24 2:17:48, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Dude, I am WIRED. Can't really focus on anything
			[redacted]	
2017-01-24 14:27:57, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	☹☹☹☹ Bill just told [redacted] and me that he brought up- again, th
2017-01-24 14:27:58, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	is time in front of D - showing cuts. Didn't know he was going to c
2017-01-24 14:27:58, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	o that.
2017-01-24 14:29:01, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yeah, dd is frustrated. Going into mtg.
2017-01-24 14:29:09, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Don't repeat
2017-01-24 14:30:15, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	I won't. Bill said D started going one way and DD cut him off. I'd be frustrated toc
			[redacted]	
2017-01-24 16:02:07, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey need to talk to you - maybe lunch unless you're doing 1230 with Andy? I have meeting now until 113(
2017-01-24 16:05:17, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I am with [redacted], planning to sit on 12:30, unless you disagree.
2017-01-24 16:06:50, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	No, but [redacted] had concerns about counsel serving as witness.

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-01-24 16:18:17, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Should I call [redacted] re her concerns? I do this all the time
2017-01-24 16:18:59, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	She's in the meeting with me. I will pull her out with me at 1130 and we'll cal
2017-01-24 16:19:26, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	No worries. [redacted] called, I'm sure it's the same thing
2017-01-24 16:19:34, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	I don't think the concern is you being there. The concern is you serving as the witness.
2017-01-24 16:20:35, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I get it. We're just making this more complicated than it needs to be. I don't really care if it is you or me or whomever. I just happen tc sit up here a lot.
2017-01-24 16:22:36, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	You should def sit in! Just if the desire is to have a witness, then we should consider an additional person. At least that's what understood from [redacted]
2017-01-24 16:22:36, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	ly. Agree we're over thinking this. More importantly, I'm starving. Where are we going?
2017-01-24 16:25:46, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	His main interest was to take notes so that I could help him remember everything he needed to write down afterwards. I think it's fine as it is.
2017-01-24 16:26:06, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Fine with me
2017-01-24 16:33:22, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey, done. what's a good # for.you. and lunch?
2017-01-24 16:33:35, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Call cell
2017-01-24 18:20:04, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Where are you?
2017-01-24 18:20:51, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Just called your cell...
2017-01-24 18:20:58, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	In with andy writing this up.
2017-01-24 18:23:11, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Argh. You going to be able to step out before we go at 1:40? Just
2017-01-24 18:23:11, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	being superstitious, for luck. 😶 All on the wagon, even!
2017-01-24 18:25:46, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yeah I should. 1:40? That's REALLY early, no?
2017-01-24 18:26:13, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Call [redacted] for his secretary's number in case your waves hasn't processed. 331€
2017-01-24 18:27:42, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Want to arrive there NLT 2. So probably step off from here 1:45-1:50. I'll hold those extra 5-10 if you think you'll be close. I just don't see you finis
2017-01-24 18:27:43, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	hing there before 2 :(
2017-01-24 20:31:05, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Done. Got us early, and spent an hour.
2017-01-24 20:31:21, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Ooh.
2017-01-24 20:37:15, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Set up a follow-up for everyone?
2017-01-24 23:48:25, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Was just going to say thanks for meeting up right before I left. It
2017-01-24 23:48:25, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	literally changes me, for the better 😶
2017-01-25 0:16:24, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Gosh. 😶
2017-01-25 0:16:30, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	You're welcome.
2017-01-25 0:19:02, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Yeah its kind of ridiculous. Always heard those cheesy stories abou
2017-01-25 0:19:02, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	t someone making you feel confident and invincible and said, yeah,
2017-01-25 0:19:02, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	right. Corny. Until you. 😶😶😶😶❤
2017-01-25 0:19:36, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Huge huge sigh. 😶😶😶
2017-01-25 0:19:55, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	I know
2017-01-25 0:20:09, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	I want to talk with you all night
2017-01-25 0:24:03, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Describe the feeling, nervousness, excitement knowing we had just h
2017-01-25 0:24:03, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	eard him denying it all, knowing we'd have to pivot into asking.
2017-01-25 0:24:03, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Puzzle round and round about it. Talk about the funny details. Reme
2017-01-25 0:24:03, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	mber what I said that made Andy laugh and ask if he really said tha
2017-01-25 0:24:04, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	t. Huge sigh sweetie. You give me such a profound range of streng
2017-01-25 0:24:04, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	th. 😶
2017-01-25 0:52:32, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Also, have some faith in [redacted] and my assessment. Of course there's room for disagreement or error. But we were pretty convinced. It's fine to disagree -
2017-01-25 0:52:32, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	your hesitation to tell me you did worried me a little bit
2017-01-25 2:51:24, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	A) thank you. Its important to me that you feel that. I'm finding it hard to go out on a counterintuitive yet strongly felt ledge with sc many competent

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-01-25 2:51:24, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	voices expressing what I feel, too: bullsh*t - that doesn't make sense. B) I made some joke about what F said. Something patriotic or military. C) not t
2017-01-25 2:51:24, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	alking, sleeping in the other room, not fighting. Is that one out of three or two out of three? ;
			[redacted]	
2017-01-25 11:54:28, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Wait, [redacted] lunch thing is today, no? Were you with Andy when he called the DAG?
2017-01-25 11:57:50, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	No, not most of the time. Saw him after, and he actually looked almost rattled
2017-01-25 12:01:17, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	That was what was prompting your comments about be ready for how bad it may be
2017-01-25 12:01:22, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	?
2017-01-25 12:03:39, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yes
			[redacted]	
2017-01-25 16:25:23, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Np. Angry story for you, [redacted]/Bill WH stuff. Not too angry as I'm too busy. Need your thoughts on how to proceed
2017-01-25 16:25:40, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	At doj with Bill baker [redacted]
2017-01-25 16:26:03, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Happy to chat
2017-01-25 18:14:23, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Don't race back - don't think it will happen at 1:30 anyway.
2017-01-25 18:14:25, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Just finished. Fireworks from [redacted]
2017-01-25 18:14:36, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Lovely.
2017-01-25 18:15:09, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Well come by under the pretense of meeting with [redacted] He moved the time without asking kortan, who can't do it at 1:30
2017-01-25 23:28:44, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Sigh. Assume there's Razor discussion I would like to be a part of. Glad you're there.
2017-01-25 23:30:22, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Not really. Baker briefed Andy earlier, so we didn't talk about much.
			[redacted]	
2017-01-26 17:29:43, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Jesus hurry up. I am certain he does not have that much relevant to say. I think he's now spent more time in there than he did in our meetings...
2017-01-26 18:49:10, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Dude stop talking about what we thought we would find. You're hurting us
2017-01-26 18:51:16, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Seriously?
2017-01-26 18:51:39, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	That would never had been a part of his piece
			[redacted]	
2017-01-27 13:24:42, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	You think I should ask Bill to.come up for the prep session for Flynn? I'm totn, and he obviously hasn't asked, so if he wants to do it himself, for what
2017-01-27 13:24:42, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	ever reason (s), fine. Just feeling excluded and a little p*ssed
2017-01-27 13:27:18, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	No. He was deliberate about who he wanted with [redacted] If anything, I would just ask why you were excluded
2017-01-27 14:36:05, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	I asked Bill about attending the 1130, particularly if he thought the issue/discussion of razor, rather then the brief to razor, would come up. He seemed
2017-01-27 14:36:05, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	ok, but said he wanted to talk to Andy or you about what if anything was decided. He said it came up at the D brief yesterday but he got the sense the
2017-01-27 14:36:05, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	y were going to talk about it alone later, which is what he wanted to determine. I told him I didn't need to be involved in the presentation prep (which
2017-01-27 14:36:05, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	is a little bit of a lie), but if the broader discussion of him came up, I'd like to be there. He seemed fine with that. Anyway, if he calls o you can
2017-01-27 14:36:06, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	nudge it that way, it would be helpful. Also, not for nothing, I just spent an hour with the man two days ago and that insight wouldn't hurt.
			[redacted]	
2017-01-31 1:31:31, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	I'm glad you got a sensible answer from JB on all the Congressional response stuff..
2017-01-31 1:33:35, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	The stuff from today you've still got to talk to Jim and [redacted], right? I'd think JB would be angry because it's very much at odds with what his conversatio
2017-01-31 1:33:35, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	n with them indicated.
2017-01-31 2:25:03, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	I guess those packages won't be going anywhere.
2017-01-31 2:29:50, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Wow. That accelerated.quickly
2017-01-31 2:33:32, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Ha. I guess not.

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-01-31 2:33:43, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yeah it did.
2017-01-31 2:36:32, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	So FISAs get signed by....?
			[redacted]	
2017-01-31 12:28:19, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Yeah, I'm not filled with confidence about all the production that we're going to be doing. I'm kind of curious about who's going through everybody's ema
2017-01-31 12:28:20, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	il and everything else, doing the quality control..
2017-01-31 12:30:23, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yeah, but at least we have those other doj lawyers doing that as well
2017-01-31 12:31:24, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	And, I seriously need to get in the shower now. Sigh
2017-01-31 12:31:31, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	And hey, did you link up with [redacted]ast night? Wondering if she was following up about our meeting. I'm guessing WH c was a little busy yesterday afternoon
2017-01-31 12:32:17, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I did. She said the DAG was still waiting to hear back from WHC
2017-01-31 12:32:56, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Who conveys the message now?
2017-01-31 12:33:13, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	I resisted the urge to say, well, she heard back alright
			[redacted]	
2017-01-31 23:53:34, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Hey did you see my green side email?
2017-01-31 23:59:50, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Yep just responded. Since its doj/crim, I think it's fine to invite me. Depending on granularity, maybe I even bring Mike along and introduce him.
2017-02-01 0:01:49, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Problem is I don't have any additional information about what we're actually talking about beyond the vagaries of the email
2017-02-01 0:03:43, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Andy and Bill should be meeting with Bannon right now, assuming they got through the gate
2017-02-01 0:04:27, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Well its gotta be the past convo, right? And since she asked for me, just let me know, I'll bring Mike as well. If it's not a crim matter, but rather st
2017-02-01 0:04:27, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	raight CI, we can say, hey, sorry, you need to be dealing with cd1
			[redacted]	
2017-02-02 23:54:15, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Uh, not so much. ☹ Leaving now, was talking to Bill about all the
2017-02-02 23:54:16, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	bad
2017-02-02 23:55:48, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Which bad? The bad ideas?
2017-02-02 23:57:01, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	All of them. The bad ideas. The bad times we're in
2017-02-02 23:58:16, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Got more data than was in the email. Guy asked about H Act. Agents thought he was trying to elicit whether or not we were looking at that...
2017-02-02 23:59:41, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	H? You mean L?
			[redacted]	
2017-02-08 23:23:06, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Please let andy know when you know more re flynn
2017-02-08 23:23:38, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Will do
2017-02-08 23:23:58, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	You already leave?
2017-02-08 23:24:02, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Did someone call [redacted]to find out how she knew?
2017-02-08 23:24:21, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Calling her now
2017-02-09 0:02:35, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Just heard from Kevin, he said he went "up to Flynn's office" and was unable to get validation of either the brief or the EO
2017-02-09 0:03:33, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Can you call [redacted]directly?
2017-02-09 0:03:57, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	I did, and left him a message.
2017-02-09 0:04:22, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	I have calls in to him and [redacted]and [redacted] with an email to [redacted]as well
2017-02-09 0:23:44, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	K. Let me know if you hear something from them. I let andy know we have no confirmation of either
2017-02-09 0:24:57, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Does [redacted] belong to [redacted]?
2017-02-09 0:25:20, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	And ok re above, I will
2017-02-09 0:37:26, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	No. She's a privacy lawyer.
2017-02-09 0:39:13, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Just told bill about my convo with carl.
2017-02-09 0:40:10, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Can you talk? Just talked with [redacted]
2017-02-09 0:40:16, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Please don't tell him that you know anything about it
2017-02-09 0:40:18, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yes.

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-02-09 0:49:43, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Think I am not going to call baker tonight. Will just leave it to dd to tell him
2017-02-09 1:03:17, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Were you able to get Andy the #s for Eisenberg?
2017-02-09 1:04:20, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yes, he called this evening
2017-02-09 1:04:57, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Thanks. How'd that go?
			[redacted]	
2017-02-09 3:04:21, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	And I'm pissed I didn't just sit there at wrap, force him to ask me to leave
2017-02-09 3:19:29, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Pull yourself out. Wrap doesn't matter. I handled. You would not have been in a position to push back against a bunch of eads anyway Please stop worrying.
2017-02-09 3:21:28, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Well fine ok whatever I don't know what to say. Im more competent thsn two of them, but the org promoted them, so whatever. Rank makes right. Re everyt
2017-02-09 3:21:28, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	hing else, i selfishly want the impossible so I'm just going to sleep and hopefully wake up happier
2017-02-09 10:28:46, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	God I can't sleep at all
2017-02-09 10:59:17, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Hi. I'm sorry - what caused the spin?
2017-02-09 10:59:32, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Saw you were up super late
2017-02-09 11:01:12, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Not sure. Andy I think. And the fact that I am only going to be in the office for like 30 seconds today. I'm super stressed out
2017-02-09 11:07:01, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Lis. It's going to be fine. I promise promise promise. I hope you can find a peaceful place where you can enjoy the chaperoning experience.
2017-02-09 11:07:24, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	When did the Andy stuff come up? At the very end of the day, or before that?
2017-02-09 11:07:32, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	With him, I mean
2017-02-09 11:08:31, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Also, imsg?
2017-02-09 11:09:47, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Sure
			[redacted]	
2017-02-09 23:51:59, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	K. I'll be here. Finishing the 302...
			[redacted]	
2017-02-10 3:02:13, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yup. Just ordered a beer. Nakashima article out
2017-02-10 3:04:09, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Go easy And thanks. OPA send it? They don't send me sh*t anymore.
2017-02-10 3:05:30, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	No. Washington post push
2017-02-10 3:07:03, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Damn you're good. Even when you're half in the tank, you're still top 1% ;)
2017-02-10 3:08:59, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	You see, "asked in an interview whether he had ever done so, he twice said, "No."
2017-02-10 3:25:23, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	And re the twice said no fact, there are about 15 people who heard that directly. My money's on Axelrod
2017-02-10 3:28:15, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Oh and BTW remind me, [redacted] announced at wrap she's going to go meet Mary McCord to follow up on some Fisa expedite they have...
			[redacted]	
2017-02-10 16:37:12, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Meet on b3
2017-02-10 16:37:19, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Rgr.
2017-02-10 18:00:29, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	That was pleasant....
2017-02-10 19:29:22, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Hi You didn't respond to my text ☺
2017-02-10 19:37:57, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	D is in Bill's office behind closed doors for 25 minutes. [redacted] said he looked in my office first
2017-02-10 19:38:46, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	D, like the Director?!
2017-02-10 19:40:37, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	At least that provides you with the entree to ask him what is going on
2017-02-10 19:57:02, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Yes
2017-02-10 19:57:15, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Talk?
2017-02-10 21:09:12, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	You free?
2017-02-10 23:02:42, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey I was considering what you said, please just drop off what you have, I will incorporate it tonight /tomorrow and email back out to you. Thanks for yo
2017-02-10 23:02:42, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	ur time on it.
2017-02-11 0:09:30, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	It's fine. Unrelated, but you need to finalize that asap. I wouldn't be surprised if following this evening's events that a request comes in to see it.
2017-02-11 0:11:56, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	A) I don't believe you. And I love you. B) thank yiu. I'm going back in tonight to do so

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-02-11 0:12:25, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I gave my edits to Bill to put on your desk.
2017-02-11 0:18:25, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Thank you ☺
2017-02-11 2:50:36, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Bill didn't leave your edits in my office. I found them in his, so pls let me know if you're not ok with my grabbing them (ie, you told me you gave them
2017-02-11 2:50:36, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	to him). And thank you. I appreciate your time.
2017-02-11 3:32:50, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yes, it's no problem. You can say I emailed you to tell you I left them with him.
2017-02-11 3:33:25, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I'm sorry. That's certainly not what I want. Why so awful?
2017-02-11 3:35:56, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Long story, I'll tell you later. Or write in an email. Bottom line, I couldn't keep the phone away, between Snowden, and Assange, and Flynn. Just a litt
2017-02-11 3:35:56, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	le bit going on literally got emails about all of them during dinner. All of them needing attention
2017-02-11 3:36:51, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	I made your edits, and sent them to [redacted] I also emailed you an updated 302. I'm not asking you to edit it this weekend, I just wanted tc send it to you.
2017-02-11 3:37:19, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	And hopefully it doesn't need much more editing. I will polish it this weekend, and have it ready for Monday. I really appreciate you time and edits.
2017-02-11 3:37:45, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Bill and I will talk tomorrow morning. If you have time, I'd love to compare notes about what you heard
2017-02-11 3:40:46, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yup, we can try to figure out a time.
2017-02-11 3:41:09, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Thanks :)
			[redacted]	
2017-02-14 10:40:03, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Hi. Flynn's out
2017-02-14 10:42:45, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yup, I saw.
2017-02-14 10:42:53, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I don't want to work out. 😁
			[redacted]	
2017-02-14 20:19:25, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	You saw nyt has us interviewing F
2017-02-14 20:19:38, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yes. Just flagged for dd and d.
2017-02-14 20:19:44, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Will explain why later.
2017-02-14 20:20:06, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	We need to talk Mike was talking to them
2017-02-14 20:20:50, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Oh really.
2017-02-14 20:22:59, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Done
2017-02-14 20:23:35, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Btw, saw you in there as I walked to this meeting. After I saw the
2017-02-14 20:23:35, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	PERFECT vase ☺
2017-02-14 20:24:59, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	So did Mike share it?
2017-02-14 20:25:23, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Share what? Can you talk?
2017-02-14 20:25:37, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Two minutes
2017-02-14 20:28:25, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Bottom line Mike ran through boss' thinking /timeline/narrative on this. Bunch of additional detail Ellen has et
2017-02-14 20:33:23, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	K. Did you mention my attendance to kortan?
2017-02-14 20:34:32, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Not to Mike, he had left. The guys left seemed to think no, said Mike was going to talk to you. Will explain/detail later of course
2017-02-14 20:37:37, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Also, is Andy good with F 302?
2017-02-14 20:38:19, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Fyi, have wrap at 345. Done at 430
2017-02-14 20:50:40, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Launch on f 302.
2017-02-14 20:56:28, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	K thank you. Andy still leaving early?
			[redacted]	
2017-02-14 21:43:58, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Still tied up?
2017-02-14 23:05:16, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Oof. She's in a spot for sure. Weird thing is she had no problem with us talking when I was in the car
2017-02-14 23:11:13, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	And media spinning about timetable. WH said timetable Qs should be posed to do
2017-02-14 23:16:46, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	And Warner now talking about his briefing
2017-02-14 23:19:54, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Great.
2017-02-14 23:39:30, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey quick thought, I went back in my memory bank. We have been brie
2017-02-14 23:39:30, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	fing usper pending cases as I described on a quarterly basis for ov

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-02-14 23:39:30, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	er a decade. So precedent is kind of squarely there. (Im sure oca
2017-02-14 23:39:30, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	will know all this in their help prepping😕)
			[redacted]	
2017-02-15 14:00:39, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Call me when you can, I have some feedback from Andy and Bill's meeting this am
2017-02-15 16:14:20, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey you back?
2017-02-15 16:14:42, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Not yet. Sorry. Should be soon.
2017-02-15 16:15:01, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Priebus called. Waiting to respond.
2017-02-15 16:17:37, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Going to hang in your office will explain why. Made the fortunate decision to stick my head in to find Jim and [redacted] in there briefing EVERYONE about h
2017-02-15 16:17:37, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	is idea to reinterviee flynn
2017-02-15 16:47:48, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey I've gotten as far as I can without you. Saved and emailed new version to you. Going back down stairs. Added two bottles of water to your flowers,
2017-02-15 16:47:48, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	snipped the bottom 2 inches off ones in front. They may be too far gone. :(Call me I guess. I have briefing to Carl I need to prepare for
			[redacted]	
2017-02-16 2:01:49, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey I'm finally getting around to reading news. The WP has an article today that's really bad in level of detail, you need to read it. Kinc of opposite t
2017-02-16 2:01:49, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	he NYT one.
2017-02-16 2:07:38, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Could you send it? I haven't read anything today either
2017-02-16 2:08:24, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Yep
2017-02-16 2:18:10, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Has typh. 302 been finalized?
2017-02-16 2:21:46, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	No, we recorded it so it stands as it is (302 will simply say it was recorded). And there are multiple. Why? (And do you mean razr?
2017-02-16 2:21:59, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	And sent, elsewhere
2017-02-16 2:23:22, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Ah. No, meant what I asked.
2017-02-16 2:24:45, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Note the bcc.
2017-02-16 2:24:59, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	K
			[redacted]	
2017-02-16 15:11:17, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	No. Tomorrow.
2017-02-16 16:09:11, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Hi there...just walked past your office :)
2017-02-16 16:10:55, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	And fyi [redacted] from oag will be in mtg with Dana at 1:00
2017-02-16 16:31:56, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	That's fine.
2017-02-16 16:51:29, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey you have 5 to chat
2017-02-16 17:47:20, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	I remembered what it was. Let's find 5-10 min to go through prep for nyt earlier in the weel
2017-02-16 17:48:11, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Sounds good.
2017-02-16 19:24:58, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Uh, wow. Need to talk but walking back with Bill and [redacted]
2017-02-16 21:57:34, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Check WP feed. Article 20 minutes ago that F denied discussing sanctions
2017-02-16 22:59:17, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Fyi just talked to Scott and Tash about what we discussed earlier. At the end [redacted] asked me if I would email her a copy of the 302. I said it was probabl
2017-02-16 22:59:18, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	y fine but i just needed to run it past DD's office. She quickly said don't worry about it, that I had enough to do, and that she'd get a copy from Mary
2017-02-16 22:59:18, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	.
			[redacted]	
2017-02-17 17:20:55, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Lemme buy you lunch? ;) Media rock star....
2017-02-17 19:23:42, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	CNN now
2017-02-17 19:42:46, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Can't in mtg.
2017-02-17 19:49:12, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	No, just reporting D providing classified briefing to lawmakers
2017-02-17 19:49:19, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Np not no
2017-02-17 20:25:09, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Are we supposed to be up there? Althea sent nothing

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-02-17 20:42:43, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Get ready for email I'm about to send
			[redacted]	
2017-02-22 11:25:03, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	And I noticed you didn't respond to my email (which is fine) - just checking to make sure it didn't bother/offend, was just offering thoughts of a friend.
2017-02-22 12:04:51, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Look. I know you just told me you're cranky, so I'll keep that in mind: 1) I didn't assume it was the totality of what you've discussed. It was the certa
2017-02-22 12:04:51, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	inty with which you made the one statement I pointed out. 2) I didn't discuss any of that with Bill. I would not. I mentioned the Qs about F to make him
2017-02-22 12:04:51, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	aware of it because it's a) relevant to his Division and b) goes directly to your point to me that "[I've] got to make the point to Bill to not bring a
2017-02-22 12:04:52, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	ll this up outside of small group." 3) I wrote the email to you because you never responded back (which is fine) but I wanted you to know what I had sai
2017-02-22 12:04:52, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	d, and more importantly, what I HADN'T said, before you walked into work this morning. You assume sloppiness or ill intent where there is none in this,
2017-02-22 12:04:52, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Lis. I'm your friend, and on your side.
2017-02-22 12:36:16, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	2) and you know what? That's a little insulting. Are you really not clear why I would discuss ANY of it with Bill
2017-02-22 12:49:44, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Fair enough. I thought it was clear, I'm sorry it wasn't. I'm sorry it contributed to your restlessness
2017-02-22 12:58:50, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	I just went and re-read it. Seems pretty clear to me, specifically says at the outset what I said, didn't say, and why. Whatever. If there's anything n
2017-02-22 12:58:50, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	ot clear please let me know.
2017-02-22 13:02:42, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	And the reason I'm saying anything at all about it is not so much to point out the content of the email itself, but to correct a little bit of an interna
2017-02-22 13:02:42, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	l narrative I think maybe you've got going on.
2017-02-22 16:11:27, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	☹☹☹☹ "I don't think he was that we'll briefed," says another pe
2017-02-22 16:11:27, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	rson involved in the case. "It's a function of being at the bureau
2017-02-22 16:11:28, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	and of Comey's personality. It is so easy to get insular there. Anc
2017-02-22 16:11:28, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Comey is not someone who cross-examines his own people...it came a
2017-02-22 16:11:28, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	cross like there was something specific, but there was nothing the
2017-02-22 16:11:29, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	e."
			[redacted]	
2017-02-24 22:56:50, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Hi there. You still here? Talking with Bill. Sad, and a little scared, frankly.
2017-02-24 22:57:18, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I'm hoping to leave I. About 10. In with andy.
2017-02-24 22:59:22, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	K. Let me know if you're up for talking. I get it/Np if not. :
2017-02-24 23:30:55, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Headed to car now.
2017-02-24 23:31:05, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Headed to car now.
2017-02-24 23:31:22, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	K. Call in 5?
2017-02-24 23:31:36, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yup
2017-02-25 0:44:26, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Aaaaand just leaving. Just spent an hour with GZT and Bill in my of
2017-02-25 0:44:26, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	fice dealing with crazy *ss people at the Ft... who started by push
2017-02-25 0:44:26, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	ing for a personal meeting between pf and the AG😑😑😑
2017-02-25 0:51:23, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	How did [redacted] do?
2017-02-25 1:15:06, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	That sucks. I'm sorry. We're on the same side as doj, right? Good. Kids went to the playground with her while J cooked. Totally idea
2017-02-25 1:19:32, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Yeah George was totally on our side. He said for a moment he wishec
2017-02-25 1:19:33, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	he could channel Cole on this topic with them. They're just wildly
2017-02-25 1:19:33, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	doing dumb, poorly thought through things. Also putting Rod in ver
2017-02-25 1:19:33, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	y bad spot pre confirmation hearings. Yay! I'm SO glad. Absolute
			[redacted]	
2017-03-01 0:20:49, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	B) talked to Bill about hpsci. Sounds like oca did a really poor job and it went horribly.

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-03-01 0:23:33, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Oh no. Did a horrible job at what? Who went?
2017-03-01 0:31:54, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Bill told oca he wanted to brief issues/foreign stuff, not cases. Oca relayed that to staff, and Bill went up with [redacted] to brief cpc nonsense
2017-03-01 0:33:30, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	What oca did not relay back was that the committee heard that request, but responded that they didn't agree, and they expected tc have the traditional u
2017-03-01 0:33:30, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	sper briefings. So [redacted] said her part, to which Bill said the committee could care less, and asked no questions
2017-03-01 0:35:34, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Nunes then said that it was very clear that his expectation for the sessions was to get briefings on the most sensitive usper cases Schif made a statem
2017-03-01 0:35:34, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	ent that he would get the director up there on a weekly basis. And someone said that 702 reauthorization was dead because we hac used it to Target that
2017-03-01 0:35:34, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	poor innocent man who had to resign
2017-03-01 0:37:43, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	The point is, there was no meeting of the minds about what the briefing was supposed to be. At the end, Bill apologized if there was a misunderstanding,
2017-03-01 0:37:44, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	that he had communicated what we wanted to do. Nunes said, oh that was relayed to us, but we relayed back that was not what we wanted or expected. Oca
2017-03-01 0:37:44, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	just didn't relay that last little part
2017-03-01 0:38:38, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Bill said he left a message for Andy to tell him all this, that he doesn't want him or the director blindsided by Angry calls from committee leadership.
2017-03-01 0:41:14, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Oh god no. That's not good. I wonder if they've already made a call, hence the D's meeting request for tomorrow
2017-03-01 0:41:38, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	So please don't share with Andy yet, I don't want to burn the fact that bill just told me all of this until he gets the chance to talk to him
2017-03-01 0:42:15, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	I don't know. Bill said that he had talked to [redacted] I don't know that [redacted] would have the juice to go talk to Jim or [redacted] or anybody to set this up.
2017-03-01 0:43:00, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	And both bill and I have an utter lack of faith in [redacted] to take any the song and solve i
2017-03-01 0:43:17, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Any of this. Not any song.
2017-03-01 0:44:22, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	So yeah, he said it was pretty bad. An hour and 45 minutes, of which [redacted] briefing probably took 20 to 30 minutes, and the rest was essentially them giv
2017-03-01 0:44:22, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	ing him a hard time about everything they wanted and weren't getting, what they expected, and other posturing
2017-03-01 0:45:35, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I won't. Andy is at the off site dinner anyway
2017-03-01 0:46:29, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	No, not beth having the juice. I meant I wonder if a member already called the D to complain
2017-03-01 0:53:52, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Sorry, was just talking to [redacted] Apparently this came up because bill went and gave a read out to Jim. This, coupled with how badly it went, coupled
2017-03-01 0:53:52, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	with the DS prior questions about what we do and don't brief, prompted setting up the meeting
2017-03-01 1:01:42, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Baker or [redacted]? And need to read to the kiddos now
2017-03-01 1:02:11, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	[redacted] And ok. Heading to airport for N in about 45...
			[redacted]	
2017-03-01 23:58:06, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Forgot one thing I wanted to mention - CG is having a briefing to the US Atty on Fri. I think Bill got us invited via SAC, at least for the firat 10 mimu
2017-03-01 23:58:06, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	tes, we want to frame the case in the context of everything. Wanted to lick.your brain about how much to tell the US Atty. I'm worriec about making sur
2017-03-01 23:58:06, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	e they're coordinating their case in the context of all the various things in play right now
			[redacted]	
2017-03-02 1:01:02, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	See times story. Should be the guys correcting their story
2017-03-02 1:01:07, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Nyt now. Wtf are they doing?!?!
2017-03-02 1:01:58, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Correcting the story, preserving their reput5?
2017-03-02 1:02:02, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Reputation?
2017-03-02 1:02:05, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	It's not. In some ways it's doubling down on the inaccuracies. Was the word that they were fixing it?
2017-03-02 1:02:36, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Omg T1!!!!!
2017-03-02 1:02:52, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	That's what kortan said.

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-03-02 1:02:56, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	To andy
2017-03-02 1:04:36, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Yep
2017-03-02 1:05:00, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	It's long, I can't read it now. Let's discuss later. Seems okay so far
2017-03-02 1:05:48, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	I haven't either. Got to the first and accuracy, got angry, and decided to focus on driving. Sounds like a plan
2017-03-02 1:06:05, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Inaccuracy
2017-03-02 1:29:29, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Note bcc
			[redacted]	
2017-03-05 12:55:23, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	Cool. I'm just pulling up the case TPs I already wrote then will walk in. Did you see this AM's tweet?
2017-03-05 12:55:37, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	No.
2017-03-05 12:57:20, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	He hashtags Fox news, something about who said it would be easier once I was pres (presumably someone saying that to Rs
2017-03-05 12:57:51, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yeah reading it now.
2017-03-05 13:01:32, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	Call when you're ready
2017-03-05 13:57:45, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	Bill is sitting writing all this at his computer (he was pretty muc
2017-03-05 13:57:46, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	h just typing as we talked) ☹ so I'll def come in again this after
2017-03-05 13:57:46, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	noon with you.
2017-03-05 13:58:35, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Why are you ☹?
2017-03-05 13:59:40, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	Because I want to write it.
2017-03-05 14:02:04, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	And also, this will all have to be play it by ear, but I don't want to overemphasize the deadline, because I don't know why we're rushing into this, but
2017-03-05 14:02:04, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	also obviosuly I don't want to blow the entirety of Mon afternoon - my thought is give people the day to think and comment, then sit down at the end of t
2017-03-05 14:02:04, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	he day and put all the comments together for something for discussion on Tues.
2017-03-05 14:02:51, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I'm not going to set a deadline, I don't think.
2017-03-05 14:04:09, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	I agree. I think we need to be a little thoughtful about this, including looping in DoJ. And we probably need to tell the WH all this before we tell Co
2017-03-05 14:04:09, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	ngress, no?
2017-03-05 14:04:50, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I don't know.
2017-03-05 14:07:48, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	So im just sitting in [redacted] office while he types. I may go work in mine until he has something done.
2017-03-05 14:12:40, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Never mind. We're good. Will have something to you in the morning
2017-03-05 14:19:06, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	Sorry just saw this now. Need to talk?
2017-03-05 14:21:34, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	Besides I've gotta come back because I have notes of all the great
2017-03-05 14:21:34, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	ways you phrased things ☺
2017-03-05 14:22:31, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Nope! I think we're all set. [redacted] and [redacted] and I spent an hour on the phone, they're drafting something now, I'll be in this afternoon to edit.
2017-03-05 14:22:46, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	☺ Thanks.
			[redacted]	
2017-03-07 0:01:25, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Updating the D TPs with Bill.....
2017-03-07 0:09:30, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	[redacted]
2017-03-07 0:11:07, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	[redacted]
2017-03-07 1:19:13, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	This entire process is trying to get DoJ to "yes" on brief
2017-03-07 1:20:36, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Well they're idiots if they really think we're going to wait for a yes. Or that the D is like the idiots they work for and actually need TPs in order to brief.
2017-03-07 1:21:56, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Well fine but that did nothing to stop Carl from calling down with all these changes to content and format. Whatever. I'm tired and cranky. What we did w
2017-03-07 1:21:57, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	as perfect and this is stupid.
2017-03-07 1:22:57, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	I just hope this is based on something needed and not some lower level's (eg [redacted] "good idea

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-03-07 1:23:49, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	No they just know how they do TPs for people who know nothing and are nervous that we couldn't possibly distinguish between what we should and shouldn't say.
2017-03-07 1:28:08, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Well Bill's OK with it. Carl said to send to DD, you, him, Baker, [redacted], Bill, me. You'll have it tonight. You see AG sent response to hill
			[redacted]	
2017-03-07 23:23:55, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I suspect I will not be home before 7 at the earliest. Just a heads up
2017-03-07 23:28:43, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey still here...but likely leave in not too long
2017-03-07 23:36:27, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Something related to the cases/TPs?
2017-03-07 23:36:46, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yup. Exceptionally bad judgment.
2017-03-07 23:38:15, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	F*ck. Bad? Anything we need to mitigate?
2017-03-08 0:00:58, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Ok I'm heading out. Will be driving for 30-40 if you have a chance to talk
2017-03-08 1:07:48, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Had an excellent talk with Andy tonight. Let's circle back up tomorrow.
			[redacted]	
2017-03-15 2:02:15, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	And hi. Finally two pages away from finishing atpm. Did you know the president resigns in the end?!
2017-03-15 2:02:26, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	☺
2017-03-15 2:07:18, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	What?!?! God, that we should be so lucky
			[redacted]	
2017-03-17 23:43:59, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey did I hear right that the product we wrote two nights ago was sent to Graham and Whitehouse (or anyone else)
2017-03-18 0:16:13, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	It was not sent. Still working out how to communicate the message to them with doj.
2017-03-18 0:23:20, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	K Mike called, said Ellen/WP had details of "the documents" that we sent to the Hill. I was immediately concerned about the two which were hand carried
2017-03-18 0:23:20, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	today, but when I asked Mike to describe what he heard, it was much more along the lines of the content of the briefing in the doc from two nights ago/Ga
2017-03-18 0:23:20, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	today, but when I asked Mike to describe what he heard, it was much more along the lines of the content of the briefing in the doc from two nights ago/Ga
2017-03-18 0:23:20, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	ng of 8 info. Only name mentioned was my middle name. Would make sense for the Hill to start strategically leaking to prep the scene for Monday.
2017-03-18 0:26:49, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Is it not the letter that doj sent up responding to the wiretap question?
2017-03-18 0:28:29, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	No. He said it described investigations/techniques. And that she had the one name. None of that would be in the doj letter
2017-03-18 0:29:14, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Your name isn't in the two or in the 4 Para summary. So must be a leak of docs supporting the report
2017-03-18 0:30:33, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	No, sorry, I wasn't clear. The subject whose name starts with my middle nae
2017-03-18 0:30:35, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Name
2017-03-18 0:33:02, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	And makes sense, she has great sources on hpsci, especially minority side Think about her prior reporting
2017-03-18 1:04:02, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Do you know what was in the set of documents DoJ sent to hpsci?
2017-03-18 1:05:11, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	What set of documents? I'm not aware of any other than the two, and possibly the letter answering the question.
2017-03-18 1:07:59, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Wp: "On Friday, the House Intelligence Committee received a set of
2017-03-18 1:08:00, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	documents from the Justice Department in response to its request fc
2017-03-18 1:08:00, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	r materials regarding Trump's accusation. The panel did not reveal
2017-03-18 1:08:00, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	whether the documents substantiate or refute the president's wiretapping claims."
2017-03-18 1:08:00, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	
2017-03-18 1:08:48, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Don't know. Maybe ask [redacted]
2017-03-18 1:09:11, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Rgr thanks.
			[redacted]	
2017-03-23 20:51:42, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	And hey, CNN is playing over and over D's statement about what would trigger an investigation. Do we need to adjust and/or message any adjustments?
2017-03-23 21:03:05, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	I'll take that as 100% no
			[redacted]	
2017-03-24 1:24:58, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Also, [redacted] called, wanting to talk with Bill with ig (I think) about razor...
2017-03-24 1:26:38, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Will try and attend that if I can.

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-03-24 1:27:02, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Re the leaks? I'd ask him to hold, it's still very much in Flux I think
2017-03-24 1:27:14, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	I hope they understand the primary likelies are the pols at WH and
2017-03-24 1:27:15, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	DoJ, not the poor SAs and IAs....☹
2017-03-24 1:27:19, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	Yes re leaks
2017-03-24 1:29:47, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	They do.
2017-03-24 1:30:00, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I'd talk to baker first.
2017-03-24 1:30:13, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Where's she getting it from, [redacted]?
2017-03-24 1:32:21, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	No idea
2017-03-24 1:32:57, Fri		INBOX	[redacted]	You really think IG understands who the likelies are? Their line people don't know a lot about leak work
2017-03-24 1:34:37, Fri		OUTBOX	[redacted]	No, probably not.
			[redacted]	
2017-03-28 14:45:51, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Hey you get a ch brief for doj on today? I got hit up by rybuck
2017-03-28 14:46:00, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Just coming back from entertaining mtg at doj where GZT and Swartz got testy at each other.
2017-03-28 14:46:36, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Re ch?
2017-03-28 14:47:22, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	No, turks. But yes in that Flynn is friction point
2017-03-28 14:47:29, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	The team needs to get with doj today for an update. I think they still have to meet with A/DAG tomorrow.
2017-03-28 14:47:48, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	We are
2017-03-28 16:33:59, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	God I'm so f*cking mad I feel like quitting.
2017-03-28 16:42:33, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	What? Just talked to Andy, on your corridor...
2017-03-28 16:43:01, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yeah, well let him know he can go f himself for me
2017-03-28 16:43:34, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	I'll call in a sec from my office
			[redacted]	
2017-04-10 12:24:38, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Please talk to [redacted] before the DAG call to him so he knows what's going on. We should talk too but I'm not sure there will be time
2017-04-10 12:25:15, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	[redacted] who?
2017-04-10 12:25:43, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Your cd4 section chief? Isn't he [redacted]?
2017-04-10 12:26:21, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Dana ALREADY called him. At like 730. ☹ What do we need to talk a
2017-04-10 12:26:21, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	bout?
2017-04-10 12:28:19, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Where things stand
2017-04-10 12:28:43, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	With work? Us/M/J? I have my 830 and then pretty booked solid. Can you talk now or tell me?
2017-04-10 12:29:07, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	[redacted] still home, no.
2017-04-10 12:29:12, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	No, re jb
2017-04-10 12:29:29, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	What did Dana say?
2017-04-10 12:30:22, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	To hold as they have pulled the case from DC. Thats all I know. I'm completely in the dark right now. Call when you get in, I'll be in office with [redacted]
2017-04-10 12:30:22, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	and [redacted]
2017-04-10 12:30:56, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Oh, that case. Never mind. Was confusing that case with the fort one. Sorry
2017-04-10 12:31:29, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	And if they are going to try to blow up the regs we need to get that to andy and d soonest
2017-04-10 12:45:50, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	I know. Bill was at meeting, not me (along with my GS14). I assume he'll brief them this morning
2017-04-10 12:52:45, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Driving now if you can talk.
			[redacted]	
2017-04-11 23:37:06, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Baker to call tomorrow about something provocative. Don't mention knowing the call to the d this am please
2017-04-11 23:37:56, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Ok. Calling me?
2017-04-11 23:41:02, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Can you give me any more detail?
2017-04-11 23:42:24, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Not here. No, he'll ask to meet with you. I asked that he talk to you first, not bill.
2017-04-11 23:42:57, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Aggressive effort to break the slog that is and will inevitably be getting to the bottom of CH
2017-04-11 23:44:45, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Ok. Thanks You see the WP article? Gas on the "cloud" and leak narrative.
2017-04-11 23:45:59, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	An idea of his, or something external threatening us?
2017-04-11 23:50:34, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	But that is the narrative you can't know about, other than sitting in on morning meeting or known from Bill

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-04-11 23:50:41, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Idea of his.
2017-04-11 23:51:55, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	He was there when I sat in with D the first time. Then JB specifically called me into his office to talk about it, remember? I won't mention today....
2017-04-11 23:53:26, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yes, and I said both to him. Just can't reference today
2017-04-11 23:55:18, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Got it. NP. Thank you :)
2017-04-11 23:55:38, Tue		INBOX	[redacted]	Do you like his idea?
2017-04-11 23:56:01, Tue		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I specifically asked him to reach out to you not bill bc bill can sometimes go down a rabbit hole. He got it and said he would
2017-04-12 18:07:11, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Well, JB never called and there's a new meeting with Bill for just the two of them today at 3. Whatever, you tried. I even walked by his office using p
2017-04-12 18:07:11, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	retext of call I just had with agency GC. You'll have to figure out a way to get details to me, I'm not certain Bill will share
2017-04-12 18:08:17, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Also, two new articles coming, one nyt re your namesake and a guardian one which is worse
2017-04-12 20:00:54, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Just go find baker and say that I said he might reach out.
2017-04-12 20:51:10, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Whew. Glad you made it. Awful news related to the draft you [redacted] and I went thru yesterday.
2017-04-12 21:00:12, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Check gmail 😫😫
2017-04-12 21:40:32, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	K. Will send an email now.
2017-04-12 22:31:02, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Oh sweet Jesus that's awful. I'm sorry. ☹ And I'll be clear work
2017-04-12 22:31:02, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	v personal. The bad news re matter we talked about yesterday is g
2017-04-12 22:31:02, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	zt said they were told on Fri that case will go to John Durham, not
2017-04-12 22:31:03, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	NSD.
2017-04-12 22:33:37, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yes
2017-04-12 22:58:10, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	And harumph. Baker clearly talked to Bill about whatever you heard
2017-04-12 22:58:11, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Bill is clearly not telling me about it, and Bill just set up a or
2017-04-12 22:58:11, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	e hour meeting with [redacted] (the new team lead) and Baker and specific
2017-04-12 22:58:11, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	ally not me on Friday. So I guess I'll eventually hear about it fi
2017-04-12 22:58:11, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	om you, and hope Bill and JB and the guy who's been on the job for
2017-04-12 22:58:11, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	two days make good decisions. 😒
			[redacted]	
2017-04-22 14:53:30, Sat		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Article is out!
2017-04-22 15:26:11, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	What?!?
2017-04-22 15:26:16, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Got no push!
2017-04-22 15:26:54, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Got no email all damn morning
2017-04-22 15:32:02, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Is your email working?
2017-04-22 15:35:36, Sat		INBOX	[redacted]	Well done, Page. 😶
			[redacted]	
2017-04-23 2:17:04, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	What did you think of the article, by the way? We didn't get a chance to talk about it
2017-04-23 2:18:17, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I won't read the comments.
2017-04-23 2:19:28, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	Good call
2017-04-23 2:20:28, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I wasn't crazy about the paragraphs before the federal bureau of matters. Felt they were too conclusory, too superficial. But I guess the rest of it was fine.
2017-04-23 2:21:20, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	You were mentioned a lot. 😶 Wonder what [redacted] is going to say. 😐
2017-04-23 2:21:40, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	I'm not sure I understand the Tweet either. I think his notion that will cause a stir in the overall liberal New York Times readership
2017-04-23 2:21:52, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yup. Same same.
2017-04-23 2:22:33, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	And funny, I had exactly the same thought about that part of the article, it seems like somebody had to put that in there. I'm not sure the reason why.
2017-04-23 2:23:26, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Of course you did. 😶
2017-04-23 2:23:27, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	I know I was. The only thing that I feel uneasy about is the part mentioning the fact that I had the Trump investigation. I think that might cause proble

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-04-23 2:23:27, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	ms, so I'm not sure which ones. Too many things still in play.
2017-04-23 2:23:44, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I get that.
2017-04-23 2:24:03, Sun		OUTBOX	[redacted]	You home safe?
2017-04-23 2:25:43, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	That entire chapter of our lives, together, memorialized in the New
2017-04-23 2:25:43, Sun		INBOX	[redacted]	York times. ☺☺☺
			[redacted]	
2017-05-04 13:39:14, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	We're going to produce what we have to [redacted] I will notify [redacted] and cc you
2017-05-04 13:41:22, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Rgr
2017-05-04 13:41:53, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	[redacted], btw
2017-05-04 22:06:36, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Just talked with Brian, he linked up with [redacted] and got him the info for ODAG request. Sounds a little confused on DOJ side but we're good/covered.
2017-05-04 22:10:10, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Cool, thanks.
			[redacted]	
2017-05-08 19:17:00, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	F*CK! Clapper and Yates through Graham questions are all playing into the "there should be an unmasking request/record" for incidental collection incorre
2017-05-08 19:17:00, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	ct narrative.
2017-05-08 20:16:47, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I'm free if you have two minutes.
2017-05-08 20:30:09, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Just called your desk. I'm free
2017-05-08 21:30:50, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey if you have time and it's not super late, have a follow up q for something you said. I know you've got Bill's session now..
2017-05-08 23:01:53, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Talking with Bill - he talked to Carl about the weeklies. Carl only wants [redacted] and I involved if it's historical. Otherwise he wants him, Bill you, Baker
2017-05-08 23:01:53, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	and [redacted] to do it (Bill didn't mention [redacted] but I assume she's welcome). [redacted] can come too. I told Bill that right now it's 90%+ historical and a wil
2017-05-08 23:01:53, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	d waste of AD/certainly EAD time. Whatever, he'll see and continue or not. Good luck having any of them do/coordinate the nitty gritty of the granular of
2017-05-08 23:01:53, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	what needs to get done. Have fun. Surprisingly I'm not bitchy about this, rather in a happy zen whatever place. Some more atonspherivs which I can sh
2017-05-08 23:01:54, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	are tomorrow. [redacted]
2017-05-08 23:16:13, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	And I'm sorry, Jim is not going to be able to transact on this AT ALL. And he's just going to punt to [redacted]. Or [redacted], which would be great
2017-05-08 23:17:15, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Yep. I was going to make one last pitch to Bill that this is working level, sleeves up [redacted] and Kevin and [redacted] and you and me.... Whatever, they'll see
2017-05-08 23:17:15, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	. Or you'll have your meeting and then there will have to be another meeting to delegate and coordinate what happened. So, bottom line, end up where yo
2017-05-08 23:17:15, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	u're suggesting now.
2017-05-08 23:19:09, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Yep! re [redacted] Frankly, all of them. They're not getting the volume of granularity that simply isn't appropriate for them, nor is the kind of stuff you can
2017-05-08 23:19:09, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	just give to a SC to run. Bill was giving me a readout on D's discussion with Burr and Warner and [redacted] Fed that all up. Did you get that? If so I'll
2017-05-08 23:19:10, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	just ask you tomorrow....
2017-05-08 23:21:44, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Disregard just saw your email. Yes please
2017-05-08 23:24:44, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Awesome.
2017-05-08 23:25:25, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	That was just prep for the D, though. If you're talking the 130, remember I missed it. ;) I'd dredge up every remaining HOGR letter and picayune terms
2017-05-08 23:25:26, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	of access back and forth. You can make it yucky....
2017-05-08 23:32:41, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Oh yeah. It was really short. We're just pretty caught up - for now
			[redacted]	
2017-05-10 0:14:26, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	And we need to open the case we've been waiting on now while Andy is acting

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-05-10 9:29:51, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	We need to lock in typhoon. In a formal chargeable way. Soon
2017-05-10 9:30:56, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	I agree. I've been pushing and I'll reemphasize with Bill
			[redacted]	
2017-05-11 15:08:21, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	CNN's current huge red top banner reads, "NEWS ALERT: Acting FBI Director McCabe testifies at a Senate hearing on global threats amid furor over Comey's
2017-05-11 15:08:21, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	firing. Watch live."
2017-05-11 15:33:23, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Nailed it! I have goosebumps.
2017-05-11 15:33:59, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Me too
2017-05-11 15:56:42, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Latest Developments on Comey: Acting F.B.I. Chief Contradicts White House - NYTimes.com https://mobile.nytimes.com/2017/05/11/us/politics/live-briefing-
2017-05-11 15:56:42, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	james-comey-andrew-mccabe.html
2017-05-11 16:23:19, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Talking with [redacted] I think we're ok. He's had a bunch of recent DC/PC prep but I'll highlight a couple of bullet takeaways if you want. He's doing well.
2017-05-11 16:28:36, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	JR just said reporting was Burr and Warner pulled because DAG coming to see them
2017-05-11 16:28:54, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Ok
2017-05-11 16:28:55, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	That's correct. That's what we were told.
2017-05-11 16:29:35, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Andy pulled before coming back?
2017-05-11 16:29:54, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	We are coming back.
2017-05-11 16:33:08, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	I saw Adam in the hallway outside Andy's office just now. Doesn't necessarily mean anything, just saying.
2017-05-11 16:33:16, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Will he want to meet?
2017-05-11 16:33:32, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Can you have [redacted] come up to answer a cfius question?
2017-05-11 16:33:49, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	No team right now unless there is an issue to flag.
2017-05-11 16:35:51, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Yes I will ask Bill to ask her. [redacted] in there now so I want to wait a sec...
2017-05-11 16:36:04, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Rgr
2017-05-11 16:36:23, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	You want nk info?
2017-05-11 16:37:24, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Just told Bill he's grabbing [redacted] and walking up. You have your iPhone?
2017-05-11 16:56:32, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey assume you're getting dump. Meeting with DAG was about coordinating investigation.
2017-05-11 16:57:03, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Burr happy. Warner conveyed he wanted special counsel, said DAG said he took that under advisement. Thats it
2017-05-11 17:23:27, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Thanks for the updates. I'm so proud of Andy
2017-05-11 18:10:08, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Yeah he did a great job. Didnt see you on tv but imsged you pics.
			[redacted]	
2017-05-15 16:11:24, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Obviously I'm playing dumb that we talked earlier
2017-05-15 16:11:48, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	I was arguing for obstruction angle. Bill can explain what he heard from Carl.
2017-05-15 16:11:53, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Yup
2017-05-15 16:12:13, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Goddamn we're a good team
2017-05-15 19:11:58, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey I have thoughts abut me w/r/t your discussion with Carl. Bottom line, recommend what you think is right. If you want [redacted] and m
2017-05-15 19:11:58, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	e there, then say it. Make the best recommendation for the best solution. Let Carl and Bill and to a lesser extent me deal with whatever comes of that.
2017-05-15 19:31:09, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Give me a call please. Update for you.
2017-05-15 20:01:30, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Just called.
2017-05-15 20:03:13, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	In wrap with Bill. In addition to what I have update on, he suddenly is far less worried about Carl. He said do what we need to do and he doesn't think a
2017-05-15 20:03:13, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	ppearance concerns are there after last week's events
2017-05-15 20:03:20, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Other item is interesting
2017-05-15 20:03:32, Mon		OUTBOX	[redacted]	K
2017-05-15 20:04:29, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Will be done nlt 430
2017-05-15 21:07:13, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	Remind me to tell you about [redacted] meetings with Susan Collins

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-05-15 21:13:46, Mon		INBOX	[redacted]	And WP push reporting T disclosed highly classified foreign govt info to Russians last week re CT threat..
2017-05-17 1:36:39, Wed		INBOX		He going with Andy tomorrow?
2017-05-17 1:38:10, Wed		INBOX		And more importantly for me, he agree to a time for interview?
2017-05-17 1:41:15, Wed		INBOX		Also, when is Gang of 8? Should I keep my interview with John D?
2017-05-17 1:52:28, Wed		OUTBOX		Hey sorry. On with Baker. No clear time for interview. But I have a memo to write now for sure. 4:45 tomorrow
2017-05-17 1:59:13, Wed		INBOX		Memo for Andy for this morning and tonight? Getting multiple witness statements by other witnesses not ideal, I know you know that.... And PLEASE set a
2017-05-17 1:59:13, Wed		INBOX		side 30 minutes for a dump tomorrow. I'll be in early to review whatever you've got. I'm going to tell Joe not to be in at 730 ready tc interview, and
2017-05-17 1:59:13, Wed		INBOX		I'm going to continue to postpone my interview with Durham.
2017-05-17 2:00:04, Wed		INBOX		What the hell's his [redacted] last name again?
2017-05-17 2:00:36, Wed		OUTBOX		[redacted]
2017-05-17 2:01:27, Wed		OUTBOX		No, I'll write it tomorrow.
2017-05-17 2:01:39, Wed		INBOX		My interview partner. Who I need to tell nothing is set and we're not going first thing. Because [redacted] doesn't relay sh*t
2017-05-17 2:01:50, Wed		OUTBOX		Yeah, I get it. And Andy could certainly write it but I took notes
2017-05-17 2:02:16, Wed		OUTBOX		So call her tonight and ask. It didn't come up directly. It was very frenetic
2017-05-17 2:02:25, Wed		INBOX		I'd rather you write it We also need class review. Chaffetz has asked for all writeups
2017-05-17 2:02:52, Wed		OUTBOX		Dude I get it. I am only one person.
2017-05-17 2:03:38, Wed		INBOX		I know you do. It's why we're friends. In the foxhole together. And I'm serious about wanting a dump tomorrow. I'll get nothing otherwise.
2017-05-17 2:05:17, Wed		INBOX		Just left her a message
2017-05-17 2:05:56, Wed		INBOX		Actually you need to write it. Andy's a witness, you're not.
2017-05-17 2:08:19, Wed		INBOX		Is rod going with andy?
2017-05-17 2:09:08, Wed		OUTBOX		Probably. Unclear.
2017-05-17 2:10:12, Wed		INBOX		I really think he should. Reasons I can explain tomorrow, and hopefully you've already thought of all of them. Discussed for a while witl Bill.
2017-05-17 2:12:04, Wed		INBOX		And this is TOTALLY going to kill me tonight, waiting to hear. ;
2017-05-17 2:19:20, Wed		OUTBOX		I agree strongly. We all do. His staff may advise him otherwise.
2017-05-17 2:22:18, Wed		INBOX		He's either a dead man walking and/or a hero. Doing so only helps him, doesn't hurt him.
2017-05-17 2:23:28, Wed		INBOX		History is watching. He needs to. To redeem his soul, first and foremost.
2017-05-17 9:56:21, Wed		INBOX		Mentioned A/D got a copy of the letter and she'd let me know this morning about scheduling.
2017-05-17 10:02:01, Wed		OUTBOX		Copy.
2017-05-17 10:12:58, Wed		INBOX		Can we get a copy of the letter to prep with before we need to interview? No super rush early this morning, I frankly will be surprised i we do it today.
2017-05-17 10:15:13, Wed		INBOX		And can you get time again with Baker this morning to class review? We're going to need to officially provide those soon, I think. You saw Chaffetz's let
2017-05-17 10:15:13, Wed		INBOX		ter? The NYT editorial lead references it, calls it "right.
2017-05-17 10:21:33, Wed		OUTBOX		I know dude. I already emailed baker last night asking him to clear time today. Re letter, need to get direction from andy
2017-05-17 10:25:03, Wed		INBOX		Ok re Jim. Seems improper to not discuss it during the interview. Hell, thats one of the most crirical parts of it. I'll forward [redacted] emai to Bill
2017-05-17 10:25:03, Wed		INBOX		and ask him to ask for it this morning.
2017-05-17 10:30:03, Wed		OUTBOX		Doesn't really mention the topic he said it did. Is just a reference to the "three times" statement which was in the final. Just fy
2017-05-17 10:34:58, Wed		INBOX		Well hell. Ok. Well, whatever. I'm suddenly invigorated to go k
2017-05-17 10:34:58, Wed		INBOX		ick this day in the ass. Maybe it's the cap. 😷
2017-05-17 11:17:27, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I want to go thru some of the arguments on lawfare to chronicle. Will call when I get in car. About 30

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-05-17 11:18:48, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	K. Pulling into hq, call me here. Im.going to hot the TPs.
2017-05-17 11:19:51, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Which Lawfare article (s)?
2017-05-17 11:46:47, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Call when you are free
2017-05-17 16:10:30, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Are you listening to this?
2017-05-17 16:58:09, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	We may be late. Bill is still on the phone. No way I'm coming up without hir
2017-05-17 16:58:26, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Copy
2017-05-17 18:18:46, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	Hey I was calling in part to say that the comment I made on the paper about not talking was in no way directed towards you - it's my anger at the whole s
2017-05-17 18:18:46, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	tate of play with Carl etc. I think you understood that but I wanted to make sure
2017-05-17 18:40:34, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	I think you sent the wrong file
2017-05-17 19:57:29, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	One note on outline. We put no detail on March Toll predication. Does Andy have left/right limits on tha
2017-05-17 20:52:43, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	He says "to date." It's a little inhaled, but it's clearly not "today," especially given contex
2017-05-17 20:53:01, Wed		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Okay
2017-05-17 21:05:07, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	I think he should say I was not thinking of Flynn in that context, there was the interaction the D had
2017-05-17 22:02:34, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	News announcing Mueller
2017-05-17 22:18:03, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	You could go work with Aaron for him...you heard it from me first... ;
2017-05-17 22:30:02, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	And you go to Wilmer when it's done
2017-05-17 23:45:23, Wed		INBOX	[redacted]	F'in Pamela Brown knows there were two phone call memos
2017-05-18 1:07:09, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	You do good work, Lisa. Cheers.
2017-05-18 1:08:14, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Thank you, Peter. You do too. We've got one hell of a team going..
2017-05-18 1:11:14, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	:) Yes we do. I'm trying to figure out if I can do what you suggested, relax enough to let go and breathe for a glimmer of a second
2017-05-18 10:14:42, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Hi there. Emailed with Aaron last night. He's coming, too.
2017-05-18 10:22:51, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	Wow. Wowie wow wow.
2017-05-18 10:32:31, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Talked to Aaron in the context of getting preservation letter out under Mueller's authority, not the team. Because while they were working on them yester
2017-05-18 10:32:31, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	day, people weren't thinking about them not going out under anything other than our letterhead
2017-05-18 10:33:23, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Anyway, Aaron and I are talking later today. He can't get case facts until he gets his a appointment letter
2017-05-18 10:47:57, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	What caused the wakeups? Mine (slightly later, 520 or so) was a carryover from last night. I could hear the shredders in the WH and DoJ running from here...
2017-05-18 10:52:44, Thu		OUTBOX	[redacted]	I agree. Can you get them out today? And god, EVERYTHING just got so much better on that case..
2017-05-18 10:57:06, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	We have to. I emailed Bill last night and told him the same. He said Kevin and the team were working on them and understood the urgency. But they're not
2017-05-18 10:57:06, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	done. I want them going out under SC's name/authority. I'm talking to Aaron about it this morning, hopefully get Kevin in touch to draft them appropriat
2017-05-18 10:57:06, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	ely.
2017-05-18 11:21:01, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	I disagree with the Gaeta decision, though I know that counts for nothing. It won't end with him. It will have a chilling effect on others It won't eng
2017-05-18 11:21:01, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	ender any of the happylovetimes goodwill with staff that seem to be oozing from burr and warner. I hope we're at least going to have him cone back here
2017-05-18 11:21:01, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	to prep and have [redacted] others with him, rather than enabling a needless Rome boondoggle as well
2017-05-18 11:28:44, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Lisa, I probably wouldn't. But we had a lot of discussion in exactly the same setting and context. Nothing changed They MEAN that earnestness. But they'r
2017-05-18 11:28:44, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	e Senators. And this is their game, their rules. We assume its ours, but its not. Andy is the Director and he decides. Period. But undertand this isn't "
2017-05-18 11:28:44, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Monday morning quarterbacking" - I felt strongly about the play well in advance of the game. All these people we're about to give them access to aren't
2017-05-18 11:28:44, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	as polished and smart and thoughtful as Andy

DATE/TIME/DAY	PHONE	IN/OUT	PHONE	TEXT OF MESSAGE
2017-05-18 11:31:44, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	Undertand I'm being reasonable in my concern. I'm not saying "Andy f*cked up." I'm just saying I disagree and am concerned about where it ends up. In the
2017-05-18 11:31:44, Thu		INBOX	[redacted]	end we're one team one fight, Lisa (though I frequently hate people who use that phrase) Did your anniversary go ok? I don't really want a lot of deta