

2016-11-06 20:52:54, Sun	OUTBOX	I'm on fox. Trump is talking about her.
2016-11-06 20:53:42, Sun	OUTBOX	He's talking about cartwright and Petraeus and how they're not protected. She's protected by a rigged system.
2016-11-07 01:43:03, Mon	OUTBOX	Good lord...\n\nInside Donald Trump\2019s Last Stand: An Anxious Nominee Seeks Assurance http://nyti.ms/2esuTs3
2016-11-07 08:54:21, Mon	OUTBOX	How Moscow Uses Interpol to Pursue Its Enemies http://nyti.ms/2edXuGk
2016-11-07 09:31:20, Mon	OUTBOX	This was good. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]\n\nIs There Life After Trump? http://nyti.ms/2eppNN6
2016-11-07 12:05:34, Mon	INBOX	Was just clearing 8 emails that somehow appeared overnight. Concerningly, there were several from earlier (ie, 5-ish) that didn't appear until after I last check this around 8
2016-11-07 12:11:13, Mon	INBOX	Ok. I have a 930 with the team and a 10 with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] (I can do the 10 on the phone)
2016-11-07 12:14:49, Mon	INBOX	Ok. I'm going to rush now. Will see if DOJ can call earlier. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-11-07 13:25:48, Mon	INBOX	Once conference call done
2016-11-07 16:01:13, Mon	OUTBOX	Andy asked to meet with me right after my 11; will hit you up afterwards.
2016-11-07 17:16:26, Mon	OUTBOX	I'm done now too. Need to talk to you about a couple of work things.
2016-11-07 17:18:12, Mon	INBOX	K. In with Bill. He hoped I went to [REDACTED] mtg, told him I didn't know about it but would catch up with you
2016-11-07 17:20:03, Mon	OUTBOX	It's essentially a pissing match btwn ny and Sf. Nothing for cd at this time. But yes, I can describe.
2016-11-07 20:08:32, Mon	OUTBOX	Waiting to meet with DD at 3:30 now. I should have gone at lunch. \U0001f612
2016-11-07 20:11:34, Mon	INBOX	Apparently no...just came up...\n\nTalked to Bill about our convo, are you Ok for me to reach out to JB to ask to speak about what you discussed earlier? His thoughts will impact what we do and we need to move one way or the other
2016-11-07 20:28:34, Mon	INBOX	How long is your 330? Want to try afterwards at 430?
2016-11-07 20:29:16, Mon	OUTBOX	I have to go sooner than that. I probably have to go right after I am done with Andy.
2016-11-07 22:17:14, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED] Jason wanted to talk idea of providing briefings to the Hill, mentioned you two working on how to approach drafting them tomorrow
2016-11-07 23:06:28, Mon	INBOX	Can you talk work? \U0001f621
2016-11-07 23:09:44, Mon	OUTBOX	No I really can't.
2016-11-07 23:12:20, Mon	INBOX	Np. Just JB frustration. It can wait. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

2016-11-08 01:32:02, Tue	OUTBOX	Impressive in its accuracy. \U0001f612\n\nHow the F.B.I. Reviewed Thousands of Emails in One Week http://nyti.ms/2egA2sg
2016-11-08 01:56:33, Tue	INBOX	OMG THIS IS F*CKING TERRIFYING:\nA victory by Mr. Trump remains possible: Mrs. Clinton\u2019s chance of losing is about the same as the probability that an N.F.L. kicker misses a 38-yard field goal.
2016-11-08 02:05:51, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah, that's not good.
2016-11-08 02:42:03, Tue	INBOX	I'm sorry. Managed to get into a huge fight here about the Bu and Clinton. Because [REDACTED] just can't stop at fair observations. She has to assert mastery of things about which she has NO knowledge.
2016-11-08 02:43:40, Tue	OUTBOX	She does realize you've been in EVERY conversation that has been had about this case, right?
2016-11-08 02:44:54, Tue	INBOX	That we should have gone on the record saying Kallstrom and others are not credible (which may be valid), but then saying we could pull his tolls if we wanted to. Because she knows all about our policy regarding investigations of members of the media. \U0001f621
2016-11-08 02:45:31, Tue	INBOX	Yes. But she's an expert who knows everything. \n\nI'm telling you, it's wildly infuriating. She has good points but then assumes wildly impossible understanding of things to make groundless assertions.
2016-11-08 02:46:06, Tue	OUTBOX	Uh, what crime are we investigating?\n\nAnd I'm sorry, that's a terrible idea. Go to war with the formers?
2016-11-08 02:47:53, Tue	INBOX	Leaking information about ongoing investigations. Which is incorrect information. By agents who don't know about things talking to him. \n\nSee? That's the thing. Her initial point, that we should have gone after the agents talking harder and sooner, is not unreasonable. But the subsequent discussion falls into uninformed assertions.
2016-11-08 02:49:08, Tue	OUTBOX	If it's not classified, what's the crime though?
2016-11-08 02:49:26, Tue	INBOX	Maybe we should go to war with them, if they're spouting bile like Kallstrom. He's really out of bounds. That is a valid debate. Talking - telling - me how we should have done it is what's infuriating.
2016-11-08 02:50:35, Tue	INBOX	There's not a crime. So you publicly shame or disavow him. And you find out who's talking to him and go after them with opr. It's a legitimate criticism that we might have looked sooner at all these people running their mouths to the press.
2016-11-08 02:50:52, Tue	OUTBOX	I get it. I'm not trying to fight with you too. I'm sorry.

2016-11-08 02:53:39, Tue	INBOX	I mean how in the HELL do you assume you know something outside of your field? How can you not, as an accomplished lawyer, understand how far and wide the field of law is? You or [REDACTED] or whoever would ever assume knowledge of things outside of criminal law. It boggles my mind.
2016-11-08 15:44:13, Tue	INBOX	I don't think it's bad. I think there are agents doing as much who will not get a visit from the DD because Jon does a good job of advertising his people
2016-11-08 15:47:25, Tue	INBOX	They are Jens people not mine. \n\nAlthough it looks like Jon said [REDACTED]
2016-11-08 15:48:31, Tue	INBOX	Is he going to the [REDACTED] room, or just sioc?
2016-11-08 15:49:16, Tue	OUTBOX	He will go see the analysts, [REDACTED] room, and sioc. Maybe I'll take him by duhadaway as well.
2016-11-08 15:52:09, Tue	INBOX	Ok I'm with [REDACTED] but going to Duhadway at 11 with [REDACTED]
2016-11-08 16:03:27, Tue	INBOX	I guess I'm also cranky that [REDACTED] has a prominent role at the 11 mtg that he should be at ON ELECTION DAY.
2016-11-09 01:06:45, Wed	INBOX	\U0001f60a\n\nHsppy Election geekdom here.
2016-11-09 04:06:58, Wed	OUTBOX	Trump won NC
2016-11-09 04:20:14, Wed	OUTBOX	PBS is projecting Florida as well.
2016-11-09 04:35:02, Wed	OUTBOX	CNN projecting FL for Trump.
2016-11-09 05:49:11, Wed	INBOX	Damn'
2016-11-09 09:34:14, Wed	OUTBOX	And there it is.
2016-11-09 09:58:18, Wed	OUTBOX	Analogous to the public editor article Bill handed out. \n\nNews Media Yet Again Misreads America\u2019s Complex Pulse http://nyti.ms/2eCqXVM
2016-11-09 12:13:37, Wed	INBOX	Too hard to explain here. Election related. Which is also godawful bad. \n\nSure
2016-11-09 09:58:18, Wed	OUTBOX	Are you even going to give out your calendars? Seems kind of depressing. Maybe it should just be the first meeting of the secret society.
2016-11-09 12:44:06, Wed	OUTBOX	And Christ, we should just hit those thumb drives now. Their opinion is totally irrelevant.
2016-11-09 12:50:49, Wed	INBOX	No. And yes
2016-11-09 12:51:16, Wed	OUTBOX	I'll mention to Andy.
2016-11-09 16:35:28, Wed	INBOX	Omg I am so depressed
2016-11-09 17:03:50, Wed	OUTBOX	Yes, maybe. I need to see what [REDACTED] wants to do first.